I. OVERVIEW OF THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

Program Announcement for the Department of Defense

Defense Health Program

Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs

Lung Cancer Research Program

Translational Research Partnership Award

Announcement Type: Initial

Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-17-LCRP-TRPA

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 12.420 Military Medical Research and Development

SUBMISSION AND REVIEW DATES AND TIMES

- Pre-Application Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), June 28, 2017
- Invitation to Submit an Application: August 2017
- Application Submission Deadline: 11:59 p.m. ET, September 27, 2017
- End of Application Verification Period: 5:00 p.m. ET, October 2, 2017
- **Peer Review:** November 2017
- **Programmatic Review:** January 2018

This Program Announcement must be read in conjunction with the General Application Instructions, version 20170418. The General Applications Instructions document is available for downloading from the Grants.gov funding opportunity announcement by selecting the "Package" tab, clicking "Preview," and then selecting "Download Instructions."

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	OVERVIEW OF THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY	1
II.	DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY	3
	II.A. Program Description	3
	II.A.1. FY17 LCRP Areas of Emphasis	3
	II.B. Award Information	4
	II.C. Eligibility Information	7
	II.C.1. Eligible Applicants	7
	II.C.2. Cost Sharing	8
	II.C.3. Other	8
	II.D. Application and Submission Information	8
	II.D.1. Address to Request Application Package	9
	II.D.2. Content and Form of the Application Submission	9
	II.D.3. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)	27
	II.D.4. Submission Dates and Times	
	II.D.5. Funding Restrictions	28
	II.D.6. Other Submission Requirements	
	II.E. Application Review Information	
	II.E.1. Criteria	29
	II.E.2. Application Review and Selection Process	34
	II.E.3. Integrity and Performance Information	34
	II.E.4. Anticipated Announcement and Federal Award Dates	35
	II.F. Federal Award Administration Information	35
	II.F.1. Federal Award Notices	35
	II.F.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements	36
	II.F.3. Reporting	36
	II.G. Federal Awarding Agency Contacts	37
	II.G.1. CDMRP Help Desk	37
	II.G.2. Grants.gov Contact Center	37
	II.H. Other Information	38
	II.H.1. Program Announcement and General Application Instructions Versions	38
	II.H.2. Administrative Actions	38
	II.H.3. Application Submission Checklist	41
AP	PPENDIX 1: ACRONYM LIST	43

II. DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

II.A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2017 (FY17) Lung Cancer Research Program (LCRP) are being solicited for the Defense Health Agency (DHA) J9, Research and Development Directorate, by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA) using delegated authority provided by United States Code, Title 10, Section 2358 (10 USC 2358). As directed by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs (OASD[HA]), the DHA manages the Defense Health Program (DHP) Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation. The execution management agent for this Program Announcement is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP). The LCRP was initiated in FY09 to promote innovative and competitive research focused on the development of integrated disciplines to identify, treat, and manage early curable lung cancer (excluding mesothelioma). Appropriations for the LCRP from FY09 through FY16 totaled \$101.5 million (M). The FY17 appropriation is \$12M.

The goal of the FY17 LCRP is to eradicate deaths from lung cancer to better the health and welfare of military Service members, Veterans, their families, and the American public. As such, the LCRP will support and integrate research from multiple disciplines for risk assessment, prevention, early detection, diagnosis, and treatment for the control and cure of lung cancer.

II.A.1. FY17 LCRP Areas of Emphasis

To be considered for funding, applications for the FY17 LCRP Translational Research Partnership Award must address at least one of the seven Areas of Emphasis listed below:

- Identify, develop, or optimize noninvasive or minimally invasive tools to improve the detection of the initial stages of lung cancer, such as, but not limited to, optimizing strategies for management of indeterminate nodules.
- Identify, develop, and/or build upon already existing tools for screening or early detection of lung cancer. Screening may include, but is not limited to, imaging modalities, biomarkers, genetics/genomics/proteomics/metabolomics/transcriptomics, and assessment of risk factors.
- Understand the molecular mechanisms of initiation and progression to clinically significant lung cancer.
- Identify innovative strategies for prevention and treatment of early and/or localized lung cancer.
- Understand predictive and prognostic markers to identify responders and nonresponders.
- Understand susceptibility or resistance to treatment.
- Understand contributors to lung cancer development other than tobacco.

II.B. Award Information

The FY17 LCRP Translational Research Partnership Award mechanism supports partnerships between clinicians and research scientists that will accelerate the movement of promising ideas in lung cancer into clinical applications. This award supports the development of translational research collaborations between two independent, faculty level (or equivalent) investigators to address a central problem or question in lung cancer in a manner that would be less readily achievable through separate efforts. One partner in the collaboration must be a research scientist and the other must be a clinician. In addition, one partner in the collaboration is *strongly encouraged* to be an active duty Service member or Federal employee from a military treatment facility, Department of Defense (DoD) laboratory, or a Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) medical center or research laboratory. It should be clear that both have had equal intellectual input into the design of the research project. Multi-institutional partnerships are encouraged but not required. At least one member of the partnership must have experience either in lung cancer research or lung cancer patient care. A proposed project in which the clinical partner merely supplies tissue samples or access to patients will not meet the intent of this award mechanism.

Observations that drive a research idea may be derived from a laboratory discovery, populationbased studies, or a clinician's firsthand knowledge of patients and anecdotal data. The ultimate goal of translational research is to move a concept or observation forward into clinical application. However, members of the partnership should not view translational research as a one-way continuum from bench to bedside. The research plan must involve a reciprocal flow of ideas and information between basic and clinical science.

This mechanism is intended to fund a broad range of translational studies, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Studies advancing/translating in vitro and/or animal studies to applications with human samples/cohorts.
- Late-stage preclinical work leading to/preparing for a clinical trial, e.g., Investigational New Drug (IND) application submission.
- Pilot, proof-of-principle clinical trials (must include documentation of an existing IND or Investigational Device Exemption (IDE), if applicable).
- Correlative studies that are associated with an ongoing or completed clinical trial and projects that develop endpoints for clinical trials.

The success of the project must be supported by the unique skills and contributions of each partner. The proposed study must include clearly stated plans for interactions between the Principal Investigators (PIs) and institutions involved. The plans must include communication, coordination of research progress and results, and data transfer. Additionally, multi-institutional applications must provide an intellectual property plan to resolve potential intellectual and material property issues and to remove institutional barriers that might interfere with achieving high levels of cooperation to ensure the successful completion of this award.

The Translational Research Partnership Award is structured to accommodate two PIs, referred to as the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI, each of whom will receive a separate award. The Initiating and Partnering PIs have different submission requirements; however, both PIs should contribute significantly to the development of the proposed research project including the Project Narrative, Statement of Work, and other required components. It is the responsibility of the PIs to describe how their combined expertise will better address the research question and explain why the work should be done together rather than through separate efforts.

Preliminary data to support the feasibility of the research hypotheses and research approaches are required; however, these data do not necessarily need to be derived from studies of lung cancer. Clinical trials are supported by this award mechanism and, if proposed, require the submission of Attachment 8, Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures.

The anticipated direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for an FY17 LCRP Translational Research Partnership Award will not exceed **\$900,000.** Refer to <u>Section II.D.5</u>, <u>Funding Restrictions</u>, for detailed funding information.

Military Relevance: The LCRP seeks to support research that is relevant to the healthcare needs of military Service members, Veterans, and their families. *Military relevance will be considered in determining relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY17 LCRP during programmatic review.* Investigators are *strongly encouraged* to consider the following characteristics as examples of how a project may demonstrate military relevance:

- Use of military or Veteran populations, biospecimens, data/databases, or programs in the proposed research.
- Collaboration with DoD or VA investigators.
- Involvement of military consultants (Army, Air Force) or specialty leaders (Navy, Marine Corps) to the Surgeons General in a relevant specialty area.
- Description of how the knowledge, information, products, or technologies gained from the proposed research could be implemented in a dual-use capacity to address a military need that also benefits the civilian population.
- Explanation of how the project addresses an aspect of lung cancer that has direct relevance to military Service members, Veterans, or other military health system beneficiaries, including environmental exposures other than tobacco.

Use of Active Duty Military and VA Populations: If the proposed research plan involves access to active duty military and/or VA patient populations or resources, the PI is responsible for establishing and demonstrating such access. If possible, access to target active duty military and/or VA patient populations/resources should be confirmed at the time of application submission by inclusion of a letter of support, signed by the lowest-ranking person with approval authority, for studies involving active duty military Service members, Veterans, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withhold or revoke funding until the applicant has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s)

and/or resources. Note that access to a Veteran population for clinical studies may only be obtained by either collaboration with a VA investigator where the VA investigator has a substantial role in the research or by advertising to the general public.

Research Involving Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human

Cadavers: All DoD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO) prior to research implementation. This administrative review requirement is in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) review. Local IRB/EC approval at the time of submission is not required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers that is supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB/EC. Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes. When possible, protocols should be written for research with human subjects and/or human anatomical substances that are specific to the DoD-supported effort outlined in the submitted application. Submission to HRPO of protocols covering more than the scope of work in the DoD-funded award will require HRPO review of the entire protocol as DoD-supported research and may include extensive modifications to meet DoD human subjects protection requirements. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, and the Human Subject Resource Document available on the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) for additional information.

A clinical trial is defined as a prospective accrual of patients (human subjects) in whom an intervention (e.g., device, drug, biologic, surgical procedure, rehabilitative modality, behavioral intervention, or other) is tested for a measurable outcome with respect to safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy. This outcome represents a direct effect on the subject of that intervention or interaction.

Guidelines for Animal Research: All projects should adhere to a core set of standards for rigorous study design and reporting to maximize the reproducibility and translational potential of preclinical research. The standards are described in Landis, S.C., et al. A call for transparent reporting to optimize the predictive value of preclinical research. *Nature* 2012, 490:187-191 (http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v490/n7419/full/nature11556.html). Applicants should consult the ARRIVE (Animal Research: Reporting *In Vivo* Experiments) guidelines to ensure relevant aspects of rigorous animal research are adequately planned for and, ultimately, reported. The ARRIVE guidelines can be found at https://www.elsevier.com/_____data/promis_misc/622936arrive_guidelines.pdf.

Research Involving Animals: All DoD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with animals must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRMC ORP Animal Care and Use Review Office (ACURO), in addition to the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of record. IACUC approval at the time of submission is *not* required. Specific documents relating to the use of animals in the proposed research will be requested **if the application is selected for funding**. The ACURO must review and approve all animal use prior

to the start of working with animals, including amendments to ongoing projects. PIs must submit the institutional animal use protocol, IACUC approval of that protocol, and a version of the animal use appendix titled "Research Involving Animals." *Allow at least 2 to 3 months for ACURO regulatory review and approval processes for animal studies.* Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information.

All investigators applying to FY17 LCRP funding opportunities are encouraged to consider leveraging resources available through the LCRP-funded Lung Cancer Biospecimen Resource Network (LCBRN) (<u>http://lungbio.sites.virginia.edu/</u>) if retrospectively collected human anatomical substances and correlated clinical data are relevant to the proposed studies.

The CDMRP intends that information, data, and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section K.

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2018. For additional information refer to <u>Section II.F.1, Federal Award Notices</u>.

II.C. Eligibility Information

II.C.1. Eligible Applicants

II.C.1.a. Organization: All organizations, including international organizations, are eligible to apply.

Government Agencies within the United States: Local, state, and Federal Government agencies are eligible to the extent that applications do not overlap with their fully funded internal programs. Such agencies are required to explain how their applications do not overlap with their internal programs.

As applications for this Program Announcement may be submitted by extramural and intramural organizations, these terms are defined below.

Extramural Organization: An eligible non-DoD organization. Examples of extramural organizations include academia, biotechnology companies, foundations, Government, and research institutes. *Extramural Submission: Application submitted by a non-DoD organization to Grants.gov.*

Intramural DoD Organization: A DoD laboratory, DoD military treatment facility, and/or DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. *Intramural Submission: Application submitted by a DoD organization for an intramural investigator who is a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or military treatment facility or in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center.*

Note: Applications from an intramural organization or from an extramural non-DoD Federal organization may be submitted through a research foundation.

The USAMRAA makes awards to eligible organizations, not to individuals.

II.C.1.b. Principal Investigator:

• PIs must be at or above the level of Assistant Professor (or equivalent).

An eligible Principal Investigator, regardless of ethnicity, nationality, or citizenship status, must be employed by, or affiliated with, an eligible organization.

The CDMRP encourages all PIs to participate in a digital identifier initiative through Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc. (ORCID). Registration for a unique ORCID identifier can be done online at <u>http://orcid.org/</u>.

II.C.2. Cost Sharing

Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.

II.C.3. Other

Extramural organizations must be able to access **.gov** and **.mil** websites in order to fulfill the financial and technical deliverable requirements of the award and submit invoices for payment.

There are no limitations on the number of applications for which an investigator may be named as a PI or Partnering PI.

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3.

Refer to <u>Section II.H.2</u>, <u>Administrative Actions</u>, for a list of administrative actions that may be taken if a pre-application or application does not meet the administrative, eligibility, or ethical requirements defined in this Program Announcement.

II.D. Application and Submission Information

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application(s).

Extramural Submission is defined as an application submitted by a non-DoD organization to Grants.gov.

Intramural Submission is defined as an application submission by a DoD organization for an intramural investigator, who is a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or military treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center.

II.D.1. Address to Request Application Package

Submitting Extramural and Intramural Organizations: Pre-application content and forms can be accessed at eBRAP (<u>https://eBRAP.org</u>).

Submitting Extramural Organizations: Full application packages can be accessed at Grants.gov.

Submitting Intramural DoD Organizations: Full application packages can be accessed at eBRAP.org.

Contact information for the CDMRP Help Desk and the Grants.gov Contact Center can be found in <u>Section II.G</u>, Federal Awarding Agency Contacts.

II.D.2. Content and Form of the Application Submission

Submission is a two-step process requiring both *pre-application* and *full application* as indicated below. The submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods.

Pre-application Submission: All pre-applications for both extramural and intramural organizations must be submitted through eBRAP (<u>https://eBRAP.org/</u>).

eBRAP is a multifunctional web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications electronically through a secure connection, to view and edit the content of their pre-applications and full applications, to receive communications from the CDMRP, and to submit documentation during award negotiations and period of performance.

Full Application Submission: Full applications must be submitted through the online portals as described below.

Submitting Extramural Organizations: Full applications from extramural organizations must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applications submitted by extramural organizations (e.g., research foundations) on behalf of intramural DoD or other Federal organizations or investigators will be considered extramural submissions.

Submitting Intramural DoD Organizations: Intramural DoD organizations may submit full applications to either eBRAP or Grants.gov. Intramural DoD organizations that are unable to submit to Grants.gov should submit through eBRAP. Intramural DoD organizations with the capability to submit through Grants.gov may submit following the instructions for extramural submissions through Grants.Gov or may submit to eBRAP. Applications from extramural organizations, including non-DoD Federal organizations, received through eBRAP will be withdrawn. See definitions in <u>Section II.C.1, Eligible Applicants</u>.

eBRAP allows intramural organizations to submit full applications following pre-application submission.

For both Extramural and Intramural applicants: A key feature of eBRAP is the ability of an organization's representatives and PIs to view and modify the full application submissions associated with them. eBRAP will validate full application files against the specific Program Announcement requirements and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. It is the applicant's responsibility to review all application components for accuracy as well as ensure proper ordering as specified in this Program Announcement.

The application title, eBRAP log number, and all information for the PI, Business Official(s), performing organization, and contracting organization must be consistent throughout the entire pre-application and application submission process. Inconsistencies may delay application processing and limit or negate the ability to view, modify, and verify the application in eBRAP. If any changes need to be made, the applicant should contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 prior to the application deadline.

The Translational Research Partnership Award mechanism is structured to accommodate two PIs. One PI will be identified as the Initiating PI and will be responsible for the majority of the administrative tasks associated with application submission. The other PI will be identified as Partnering PI. Initiating and Partnering PIs each have different submission requirements; however, both PIs should contribute significantly to the development of the proposed research project including the Project Narrative, Statement of Work, and other required components. The Initiating PI must complete the pre-application submission process and submit the contact information for the Partnering PI. The Partnering PI will then be notified of the pre-application submission separately by email. *The Partnering PI must follow the link in this email in order to associate his/her Grants.gov application package with that of the Initiating PI*. If not previously registered, the Partnering PI must register in eBRAP. A new pre-application based on this research project should not be initiated by the Partnering PI. Do not delay completing these steps. If they are not completed, the Partnering PI will not be able to view and modify his/her application during the verification period in eBRAP.

II.D.2.a. Step 1: Pre-Application Submission Content

During the pre-application process, each submission is assigned a unique log number by eBRAP. This unique eBRAP log number will be needed during the full application submission process.

To begin the pre-application process, first select whether the submitting organization is extramural or intramural, then confirm your selection or cancel. **Incorrect selection of extramural or intramural submission type may result in delays in processing.**

If an error has been made in the selection of extramural versus intramural and the pre-application submission deadline has passed, the PI or Business Official must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at <u>help@eBRAP.org</u> or 301-682-5507.

All pre-application components must be submitted by the Initiating PI through eBRAP (<u>https://eBRAP.org/</u>). Because the invitation to submit an application is based on the contents of

the pre-application, investigators should not change the title or research objectives after the preapplication is submitted.

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at <u>help@eBRAP.org</u> or 301-682-5507.

The Initiating PI is responsible for submission of all pre-application components.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B, for additional information on pre-application submission):

• Tab 1 – Application Information

• Tab 2 – Application Contacts

Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization's Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the "person to be contacted on matters involving this application" in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form). The Business Official must be either selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

Select the performing organization (site at which the PI will perform the proposed work) and the contracting organization (organization submitting on behalf of the PI, which corresponds to Block 5 on the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form), and click on "*Add Organizations to this Pre-application*." The organization(s) must be either selected from the eBRAP drop-down list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.

• Tab 3 – Collaborators and Key Personnel

Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.

FY17 LCRP Programmatic Panel members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to Panel members and pre-applications or applications, refer to <u>Section II.H.2.c, Withdrawal</u>, or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at <u>help@eBRAP.org</u> or 301-682-5507.

The Initiating PI must enter the contact information for the Partnering PI in the Partnering PI section.

To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in preapplication or application preparation, research, or other duties for submitted pre-applications or applications. For FY17, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (<u>http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/</u><u>2tierRevProcess</u>). Pre-applications or applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage conflicts of interest (COIs) are provided and deemed appropriate by the Grants Officer. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for detailed information.

• Tab 4 – Conflicts of Interest (COIs)

List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a COI in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship). Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section C, for further information regarding COIs.

• Tab 5 – Pre-Application Files

Note: Upload documents as individual PDF files unless otherwise noted. eBRAP will not allow a file to be uploaded if the number of pages exceeds the limit specified below.

- **Pre-Application Relevance Questions:** Provide responses in the appropriate data fields for the following in eBRAP:
 - 1. Is the applicant currently affiliated with the military and/or VA? (Yes/No)
 - 2. Does the proposed research include collaborations with a current military and/or VA investigator/institutions? (Yes/No)
 - 3. Does the proposed research include the use of military and/or VA resources (e.g., data, patient samples)? (Yes/No) If yes, specify the resource and how the resource will be accessed to conduct the proposed research. (500-character limit, including spaces)
 - 4. Clearly articulate how the proposed research is relevant to military Service members, Veterans, and their families; include supporting evidence as applicable to the proposed research. (1,000-character limit, including spaces)
- **Preproposal Narrative (two-page limit):** The Preproposal Narrative page limit applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Preproposal Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application.

The Preproposal Narrative should include the following:

- **Research:** State the project's hypothesis/objective, rationale, specific aims, and study design.

- 1. If the proposed research includes a clinical trial, briefly state the clinical intervention, subject population(s), and phase of the clinical trial.
- 2. Describe the feasibility of initiating the clinical trial within 12 months of the award date. *Note: Invited applications must provide proof of an existing IND/IDE, if applicable*.
- Partnership: Describe how the combined efforts of the PIs will result in a level of productivity that will be greater than that achievable by each PI working independently. Describe how the combined efforts are centered on a unified objective and how the PIs will work together to achieve that objective from different perspectives. Briefly describe the PIs' histories of collaborative study with each other or with other investigators, including the PIs' abilities to function synergistically in a project among equals.
- Impact: Explain how the proposed project has the potential to lead to critical discoveries or major advancements that will accelerate progress toward eradicating deaths from lung cancer. Briefly explain how the proposed research addresses at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis.
- **Pre-Application Supporting Documentation:** The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application *must be uploaded as individual files* and are limited to the following:
 - References Cited (one-page limit): List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Preproposal Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, reference title, and reference source, including volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
 - List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols used in the Preproposal Narrative.
 - Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit per individual). All biographical sketches should be uploaded as a single combined file. Biographical sketches should be used to demonstrate background and expertise through education, positions, publications, and previous work accomplished.
 - IND/IDE Documentation: If applicable, complete the IND/IDE Documentation Form, which is available for download on the Full Announcement page for this Program Announcement on Grants.gov.

• Tab 6 – Submit Pre-Application

This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

Pre-Application Screening

Pre-Application Screening Criteria

To determine the technical merits of the pre-application and the relevance to the mission of the DHP and the LCRP, pre-applications will be screened based on the following criteria:

- **Military Relevance:** To what degree the proposed project is relevant to military Service members, Veterans, and their families.
- **Research:** To what degree the experimental approach for accomplishing the specific aims is feasible, will accomplish the objectives, and is based on sound rationale. If a clinical trial is proposed, whether there an existing IND/IDE, if applicable.
- **Partnership:** How well the proposed study represents a synergistic collaboration that will produce results greater than those of the PIs working independently. To what degree it is evident that both PIs have provided comparable levels of intellectual input into the proposed project.
- **Impact:** Whether the proposed project has the potential to lead to critical discoveries or major advancements that will accelerate progress toward eradicating deaths from lung cancer. To what degree the proposed project addresses at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis.

Notification of Pre-Application Screening Results

Following the pre-application screening, Initiating PIs will be notified as to whether or not they are invited to submit applications; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., a critique of strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application. The estimated time frame for notification of invitation to submit an application is indicated in <u>Section I, Overview of the Funding</u> <u>Opportunity</u>. Invitations to submit a full application are based on the Pre-Application Screening Criteria listed above.

II.D.2.b. Step 2: Full Application Submission Content

Applications will not be accepted unless the Initiating PI has received notification of invitation.

All contributors and administrators to the application must use matching compatible versions of Adobe software when editing and preparing application components. The use of different software versions will result in corruption of the submitted file. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for details on compatible Adobe software.

The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.

Each application submission must include the completed full application package for this Program Announcement. The full application package is submitted by the Authorized

Organizational Representative through Grants.gov (<u>https://www.grants.gov/</u>) for extramural organizations or through eBRAP (<u>https://ebrap.org/</u>) for intramural organizations. See Table 1 below for more specific guidelines.

II.D.2.b.i. Full Application Guidelines

Extramural organizations, including non-DoD Federal agencies, must submit full applications through Grants.gov. Submissions of extramural applications through eBRAP may be withdrawn.

Extramural Submissions	Intramural DoD Submissions		
Application Package Location			
Download application package components for W81XWH-17-LCRP-TRPA from Grants.gov (<u>http://www.grants.gov</u>).	Download application package components for W81XWH-17-LCRP-TRPA from eBRAP (https://ebrap.org).		
Full Application Package Components			
SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.	Tab 1 – Summary: Provide a summary of the application information.Tab 2 – Application Contacts: This tab will be pre-populated by eBRAP; add Authorized Organizational Representative.		
 Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components: <u>Attachments</u> <u>Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</u> <u>Research & Related Budget</u> <u>Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form</u> <u>R&R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form</u> (if applicable) <u>Additional Application Components</u> 	 Tab 3 – Full Application Files: Upload files under each Application Component in eBRAP. Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components: <u>Attachments</u> <u>Key Personnel</u> <u>Budget</u> <u>Performance Sites</u> <u>Other</u> Tab 4 – Application and Budget Data: Review and edit proposed project start date, proposed end date, and budget data pre- populated from the Budget Form. 		

Table 1. Full Application Submission Guidelines

Application Package Submission		
Submit package components to Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov). If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or if the Project Narrative or the budget need to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a "Changed/Corrected Application" with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline.	Submit package components to eBRAP (https://ebrap.org). Tab 5 – Submit/Request Approval Full Application: After all components are uploaded and prior to the full application submission deadline, enter your password in the space provided "Enter Your Password Here" and press the "Submit Full Application" button. eBRAP will notify your Resource Manager/Comptroller or equivalent Business Official by email to log into eBRAP to review and to approve prior to the application submission deadline.	
Application Verification Period		
The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application verification period, the full application package, <i>with the exception of the Project Narrative and</i> <i>Budget Form</i> , may be modified.	After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller or equivalent Business Official and PI(s) will receive an email notification of this status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package, <i>with the</i> <i>exception of the Project Narrative and</i> <i>Budget Form,</i> may be modified.	
Further Information		
Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.	Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV, for further information regarding eBRAP requirements.	

The organization's Business Official or Authorized Organization Representative (or Resource Manager/Comptroller) should approve/verify the full application submission prior to the application verification deadline.

Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP are strongly recommended, but not required. *The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.* Prior to the full application deadline, a corrected or modified full application package may be submitted. Other application components may be changed until the end of the application verification period. After the end of the application verification period, the full application cannot be modified.

Material submitted after the end of the application verification period, unless specifically requested by the Government, will not be forwarded for processing.

The CDMRP requires separate full application package submissions for the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI, even if the PIs are located within the same organization. Initiating and Partnering PIs will each be assigned a unique eBRAP log number. Each full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number. *Note: All associated applications (Initiating and Partnering PIs') must be submitted by the full application submission deadline.*

The full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number to avoid delays in application processing.

II.D.2.b.ii. Full Application Submission Components:

• Extramural Applications Only –

SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.

• Extramural and Intramural Applications –

Attachments:

Each attachment to the full application components must be uploaded as an individual file in the format specified and in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4.

For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Attachments will be rejected if the file names are longer than 50 characters or incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, there are file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 MB and the file size for the entire full application package may not exceed 200 MB.

• Attachment 1: Project Narrative (12-page limit): Upload as "ProjectNarrative.pdf." The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

The inclusion of preliminary data relevant to the proposed project, but not necessarily derived from studies of lung cancer, is required.

Outline for Project Narrative: Describe the proposed project in detail using <u>one</u> of the two outlines below, depending on whether or not a clinical trial is proposed.

Outline for projects *without* a clinical trial:

- **Background:** Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed research; include relevant literature citations, preliminary data, and/or preclinical data that led to the

development of the proposed study. Any preliminary data provided should be from the laboratory of the PI or member(s) of the collaborating team.

- Hypotheses/Objectives: State the hypotheses/study questions and overall objective(s) to be reached.
- **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project's specific aims. If this application is part of a larger study, present only tasks that this award would fund.
- Research Strategy: Describe the experimental design, methods, and analyses, including appropriate controls, in sufficient detail for analysis. Clearly describe how data will be collected and analyzed in a manner that is consistent with the study objectives. Include specific examples of synergistic elements incorporated into the research design. Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches. If animals studies are proposed, describe how they will be conducted in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines (https://www.elsevier.com/__data/ promis_misc/622936arrive_guidelines.pdf). If human subjects or human biological samples will be used, include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples.
- Project Coordination and Communication: Describe plans for communication, decision-making, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and sharing of data among both PIs and institutions participating in the project.
- Data and Statistical Analysis Plan: Describe how data will be collected and analyzed in a manner that is consistent with the study objectives. Detail a statistical plan for the resulting outcomes. If applicable, include a complete power analysis to demonstrate that the sample size is appropriate to meet the objectives of the study. Describe how data will be reported and how it will be assured that the documentation will support a regulatory filing with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), if applicable.

Outline for projects with a clinical trial:

Note: The Project Narrative is NOT the formal clinical trial protocol. Instead, all elements of the proposed clinical trial necessary for peer review must be described as indicated below.

- **Background:** Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed research; include relevant literature citations, preliminary data, and/or preclinical data that led to the development of the proposed clinical trial. Any preliminary data provided should be from the laboratory of the PI or member(s) of the collaborating team.
 - Clearly support the choice of study variables and explain the basis for the study questions and/or study hypotheses. Establish the relevance of the study and explain the applicability of the proposed findings.

- If the proposed clinical trial was initiated using other funding prior to this application, explain the history and background of the clinical trial and declare the source of prior funding. Specifically identify the portions of the study that will be supported with funds from this award.
- Hypotheses/Objectives: State the hypotheses/study questions and overall objective(s) to be reached.
- **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project's specific aims. If this application is part of a larger study, present only tasks that this award would fund.
- Study Design: Describe the intervention to be studied, how it will be applied, and the projected outcomes of the study. Define the study variables, describe how they will be measured, and include a description of appropriate controls and the endpoints to be tested. Document the availability and accessibility of the intervention. Include a detailed plan for the recruitment of human subjects and safety procedures in Attachment 8.
- Data and Statistical Analysis Plan: Describe how data will be collected and analyzed in a manner that is consistent with the study objectives. If applicable, include a complete power analysis to demonstrate that the sample size is appropriate to meet the objectives of the study. Specify the approximate number of human subjects/samples that will be accrued. If multiple study sites are involved, state the approximate number to be enrolled at each site. Describe how data will be reported and how it will be assured that the documentation will support a regulatory filing with the FDA, if applicable.
- **Study Personnel:** Identify the key members of the study team and describe their roles on the project. If applicable, a medical monitor (external to the study and not reimbursed by the study) and study coordinator(s) should be included.
- Project Coordination and Communication: Describe plans for communication, decision-making, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and sharing of data among both PIs and institutions participating in the project.
- Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation. Combine and upload as a single file named "Support.pdf." Start each document on a new page. If documents are scanned to PDF, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. The Supporting Documentation attachment should not include additional information such as figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, or drawings. These items should be included in the Project Narrative. Any additional material viewed as an extension of the Project Narrative will be removed or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested will result in

the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

- References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs, if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
- List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.
- Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.
- Publications and/or Patents: Include a list of relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then copies of up to five published manuscripts may be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.
- Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the Program Announcement, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.
- Letters of Collaboration: Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work.
 - Availability of and access to research resources (to include proprietary material for the purpose/duration of the proposed research), and/or
 - Availability of and access to appropriate populations (and/or access to available samples/data or databases), if applicable.
 - For applications that include an intramural (DoD) collaborator, include a letter from the collaborator's Commander or Commanding Officer at the intramural organization that authorizes the collaborator's involvement in the proposed research.

- Intellectual Property: Information can be found in Code of Federal Regulations, Title 2, Part 200.315 (2 CFR 200.315), "Intangible Property."
 - Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.
 - Commercialization Strategy (if applicable): Describe the commercialization plan. The plan should include intellectual property, market size, financial analysis, strengths and weaknesses, barriers to the market, competitors, and management team. Discuss the significance of this development effort, when it can be anticipated, and the potential commercial use for the technology being developed.
- Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section K, for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.
- Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as "TechAbs.pdf." The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. *Do not include proprietary or confidential information*. Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Of particular importance, programmatic reviewers typically do not have access to the full application and therefore rely on the technical abstract for appropriate description of the project's key aspects. Therefore, clarity and completeness within the space limits of the technical abstract are highly important. Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below.

- Background: Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work.
- Area of Emphasis: State the LCRP Area(s) of Emphasis the project addresses.
- Objective/Hypothesis: State the objective/hypothesis to be tested. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the objective/hypothesis.
- Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the study.
- Study Design: Briefly describe the study design including appropriate controls.
- Impact: Summarize the potential impact of the proposed project toward the goal of eradicating deaths from lung cancer. State explicitly how the research will ultimately accelerate the movement of promising ideas toward clinical applications.
- Military Relevance: Describe how the project is relevant to military Service members, Veterans, and their families.

• Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as "LayAbs.pdf." The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. *Do not include proprietary or confidential information*. Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Do not duplicate the technical abstract. Minimize the use of acronyms and abbreviations, where appropriate. The lay abstract is an important component of the application review process because it addresses issues of particular interest to the consumer community. Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below.

- Describe the scientific objective and rationale for the proposed project in a manner that will be readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine.
 - State the LCRP Area(s) of Emphasis the project addresses.
- Describe the ultimate applicability of the research.
 - What types of patients will it help, and how will it help them?
 - What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks?
 - What is the projected time it may take to achieve a clinically relevant outcome?
 - What are the likely contributions of this study to advancing the field of lung cancer research?
 - How is the project relevant to military Service members, Veterans, and their families?
- Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit): Upload as "SOW.pdf." The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For the Translational Research Partnership Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled "SOW for Generic Format," if a clinical trial is not proposed, or the "SOW for Clinical Research (Including Trials, Special Populations)," if a clinical trial is proposed. The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching.

The SOW should include a list of major tasks that support the proposed specific aims, followed by a series of subtasks outlined related to the major tasks and milestones within the period of performance. The SOW should describe only the work for which funding is being requested by this application and, as applicable, should also:

Include the name(s) of the key personnel and contact information for each study site/ subaward site.

Indicate the number (and type, if applicable) of research subjects (animal or human) and/or human anatomical samples projected or required for each task and at each site. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information regarding regulatory requirements.

Briefly state the methods to be used.

For studies with prospective accrual of human subjects, indicate quarterly enrollment targets.

Identify cell line(s) and commercial or organizational source(s) to be used. If human anatomical substances (including cell lines) will be used, specify whether or not identifiable information is accessible to the research team by any means.

If applicable, indicate timelines required for regulatory approvals relevant to human subjects research (e.g., IND and IDE applications) by the FDA or other Government agency.

Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW. The contributions of the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI should be noted for each task.

- Attachment 6: Impact Statement (one-page limit): Upload as "Impact.pdf." Explain in detail why the proposed research project is important, as follows:
 - **Describe the short-term impact:** Detail the anticipated outcome(s)/product(s) that will be directly attributed to the results of the proposed research.
 - Describe the long-term impact: Explain the anticipated long-term gains from the proposed research, including the long-term anticipated advantages that the new understanding may ultimately contribute to the goal of eradicating deaths from lung cancer.
 - Describe how the proposed research is relevant to at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis in a way that is consistent with the program's goals.
- Attachment 7: Partnership Statement (one-page limit): Upload as "Partnership.pdf." Discuss in detail the advantages of addressing this problem through the combined expertise of the PIs and how this contributes to the synergy of the application. Describe how the proposed partnership involves a substantial contribution by each partner and the reciprocal flow of ideas and information. Describe how the combined efforts of the PIs will result in a level of productivity that is greater than that achievable by each PI working independently.
- Attachment 8: Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures (required if application includes a clinical trial; no page limit): Upload as "HumSubProc.pdf." Describe the study population, criteria for inclusion/exclusion, and the methods that will be used for recruitment/accrual of human subjects and/or samples (i.e., convenience, simple random, stratified random). Address any potential barriers to accrual and plans

for addressing potential delays. Describe how the subject-to-group assignments process will be conducted (e.g., randomization, block randomization, stratified randomization, age-matched controls, alternating group, or other procedures), if applicable. Include a discussion of the screening procedures and risk/benefit considerations. In addition, include a clear and detailed description of the potential ethical issues raised by the proposed study and provide a detailed plan for how the ethical issues will be addressed.

- Attachment 9: IND/IDE Documentation Form (required if application includes a clinical trial): Upload as "IND.IDE.pdf." Complete the IND/IDE Documentation Form, which is available for download on the Full Announcement page for this Program Announcement on Grants.gov.
- Attachment 10: Military Relevance Statement (one-page limit): Upload as "MilRelevance.pdf." Describe how the proposed research is relevant to the healthcare needs and welfare of military Service members, Veterans, and their families in a way that is consistent with the program's goals. If active duty military, military families, and/or Veteran population(s) will be used in the proposed research project, describe the population(s), the appropriateness of the population(s) for the proposed study, and the feasibility of using the population. If a non-military population will be used for the proposed research project, explain how the population simulates the targeted population (i.e., Armed Forces, their family members, and/or the Veteran population). If applicable, show how the proposed research project aligns with DoD and/or VA areas of research interest.
- Attachment 11: Letters Confirming Access to Target Military or VA Patient Population(s) or Human/Animal Anatomical Substances, Databases, if applicable: Upload as "Access.pdf." If applicable, provide a letter(s) of support, signed by the lowest-ranking person with approval authority, for studies involving active duty military and/or Veteran populations, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases.
- Attachment 12: DoD Military Budget Form(s), if applicable: Upload as "MFBudget.pdf." If a military facility (military health system facility, research laboratory, treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DoD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete the DoD Military Budget Form, available for download on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (<u>https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm</u>), including a budget justification, for each military facility as instructed. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.
- Extramural and Intramural Applications –

Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded): For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.3, and for

intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.2, for detailed information.

- PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf." The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (<u>https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm</u>) in eBRAP. The National Institutes of Health Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in the portable document format (PDF) that is not editable.
- PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf."
- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf."
 - Include biographical sketch for the Partnering PI.
- Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf."
 - Include previous/current/pending support for the Partnering PI.

Research & Related Budget: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as "BudgetJustification.pdf." The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.

Initiating and Partnering PIs must each submit a budget and justification specific to their own portion of the efforts as part of their separate Grants.gov or eBRAP application packages. The Initiating PI should not include budget information for the Partnering PI, even if they are located within the same organization. Refer to <u>Section II.D.5, Funding</u> <u>Restrictions</u>, for detailed information.

Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form): For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.

• Extramural Applications Only –

R&R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable): Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, for detailed information.

- **Extramural Subaward:** Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, for detailed information.)
- Intramural DoD Collaborator(s): Complete the DoD Military Budget Form and upload to Grants.gov as Attachment 12. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.) Intramural DoD Collaborator(s) costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs. DoD Military Budget Form: A military facility collaborating in the performance of the project (but not participating as a Partnering PI) should be treated as a subaward for budget purposes. However, do not complete the Grants.Gov R&R Subaward Budget Attachment Form; instead, complete the DoD Military Budget Form (Attachment 12) to show all direct and indirect costs. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.

Application Components for the Partnering PI

The Partnering PI must follow the link in the email from eBRAP and, if not registered in eBRAP, complete the registration process prior to the application submission deadline in order to associate his/her full application package with that of the Initiating PI.

For the Partnering PI, the Initiating PI must identify if that Partnering PI will be submitting an extramural or intramural application (in accordance with the guidelines in <u>Section II.C.1.a</u>, <u>Organization</u>) and the appropriate mode of submission (Grants.gov for extramural and eBRAP for intramural). The Partnering PI must verify his/her contact information and mode of submission within eBRAP to ensure proper submission of his/her application.

The application submission process for the Partnering PI uses an abbreviated full application package that includes:

• Extramural and Intramural Applications –

Attachments:

- Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit): Upload as "SOW.pdf." Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.2, for detailed information on completing the SOW. Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW. The contributions of the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI should be noted for each task.
- Attachment 12: DoD Military Budget Form: Upload as "MFBudget.pdf." Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information. The costs

per year should be included on the Grants.Gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs.

Research & Related Budget: For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for intramural submissions refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as "BudgetJustification.pdf."

Initiating and Partnering PIs must each submit a budget and justification specific to their own portion of the efforts as part of their separate Grants.gov application packages. The Research & Related Budget for the Partnering PI should not include budget information for the Initiating PI, even if they are located within the same organization. Refer to <u>Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions</u>, for detailed information.

Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form. For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.

• Extramural Applications Only –

R&R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form.

- **Extramural Subaward:** Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, for detailed information.)
- **Intramural DoD Collaborator(s):** Complete the DoD Military Budget Form and upload to Grants.gov as Attachment 12. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.)

II.D.3. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)

Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an "Active" status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Verify the status of the applicant's organization's Entity registration in SAM well in advance of the application submission deadline. Allow 3 to 4 weeks to complete the entire SAM registration process. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time the Federal awarding agency is ready to make a Federal award, the Federal awarding agency may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a Federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

II.D.4. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated in <u>Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity</u>. Pre-application and application submissions are required. The pre-application and application submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in submission rejection.

Applicant Verification of Full Application Submission in eBRAP

Prior to the end of the application verification period, PIs and organizational representatives can review and modify in eBRAP certain components of a submitted application. Following retrieval and processing of the full application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the full application submission. eBRAP will validate retrieved files against the specific Program Announcement requirements and discrepancies will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. It is the applicant's responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the Program Announcement. *If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated full application package must be submitted prior to the application submission deadline.* The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

II.D.5. Funding Restrictions

The maximum period of performance is **3** years.

The anticipated combined direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI's applications will not exceed **\$900,000**. The combined total direct costs of the Initiating PI's and the Partnering PI's awards will not exceed **\$900,000** direct costs. If indirect cost rates have been negotiated, indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate. Collaborating organizations should budget associated indirect costs in accordance with each organization's negotiated rate. The combined budgeted direct costs approved by the Government will not exceed **\$900,000** or use an indirect cost rate exceeding each organization's negotiated rate.

All direct and indirect costs of any subaward or contract must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.

The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum 3 years.

A separate award will be made to each PI's organization.

The PIs are expected to be partners in the research, and direct cost funding should be divided accordingly, unless otherwise warranted and clearly justified.

For this award mechanism, direct costs may be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Equipment
- Clinical research costs
- Support for multidisciplinary collaborations, including travel
- Travel costs for one investigator to travel to one scientific/technical meeting per year

Extramural (non-Federal) awards will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). For extramural awards with an intragovernmental component, direct transfer of funds from an extramural award recipient to a DoD or other Federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Funding to intramural DoD and other Federal agencies will be managed through a direct fund transfer. Intragovernmental only funding to intramural DoD and other Federal agencies will be managed through a direct fund transfer. Intragovernmental only funding to intramural applicants are responsible for coordinating through their agency's procedures the use of contractual or assistance funding awards or other appropriate agreements to support extramural collaborators. Application packages from associated extramural partners will be funded through assistance agreements.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. *For Federal agencies or organizations collaborating with Federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4.*

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately \$2.88M of the \$12M FY17 LCRP appropriation to fund approximately 2 Translational Research Partnership Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program.

II.D.6. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for detailed formatting guidelines.

II.E. Application Review Information

II.E.1. Criteria

II.E.1.a. Peer Review

To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

For applications <u>without</u> a clinical trial:

• Partnership

- How the PIs have assembled an appropriate and robust research team with the combined backgrounds and lung cancer-related expertise to enable successful conduct of the project.
- Whether both PIs meet the eligibility requirements.
- To what degree the proposed partnership between the PIs is likely to result in a level of productivity that is greater than that achievable by each PI working independently.
- How the partners' expertise and levels of effort support the proposed project.
- To what degree the proposed project is centered on a unified theme that addresses a central problem or question rather than an additive set of unrelated subprojects.
- How well the application addresses processes for ongoing communication, decisionmaking, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and sharing of data among all participating PIs and institutions.

• Research Strategy and Feasibility

- How well the scientific rationale supports the project and its feasibility as demonstrated by a critical review and analysis of published literature, logical reasoning, and preliminary data.
- How well the hypotheses or objectives, aims, experimental design, methods, and analyses (and, if applicable, the statistical plan, rationale for the statistical methodology, and power analysis) are developed.
- If animal studies are included, how well they are designed to achieve reproducible and rigorous results, including the choice of model and the endpoints/outcomes to be measured.
- If human subjects or human anatomical samples will be used, how well the plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples is justified and appropriate to accomplish the proposed work.
- How well the PI acknowledges potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.
- If applicable, whether data will be appropriately reported and documented to support a regulatory filing with the FDA.

• Impact

- To what degree the project could, whether in the short- or long-term, make a significant impact on lung cancer research and/or patient care, including its potential to accelerate progress toward eradicating deaths from lung cancer.
- If successful, how the partnership and the aims of the study project will eventually move from a clinical observation, a laboratory discovery, or population-based study into clinical applications.
- How well the proposed research addresses at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of applications without a clinical trial:

• Environment

- To what degree the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research.
- How well the research requirements are supported by the availability of and access to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements).
- To what degree the quality and extent of institutional support are appropriate for the proposed research.
- If multi-institutional, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

• Budget

• Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and within the limitations of this Program Announcement.

• Application Presentation

• To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

For applications <u>with</u> a clinical trial:

To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

• Partnership

• How the PIs have assembled an appropriate and robust research team with the combined backgrounds and lung cancer-related expertise to enable successful conduct of the project.

- Whether both PIs meet the eligibility requirements.
- To what degree the proposed partnership between the PIs is likely to result in a level of productivity that is greater than that achievable by each PI working independently.
- How the partners' expertise and levels of effort support the proposed project.
- To what degree the proposed project is centered on a unified theme that addresses a central problem or question rather than an additive set of unrelated subprojects.
- How well the application addresses processes for ongoing communication, decisionmaking, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and sharing of data among all participating PIs and institutions.

• Study Design

- How well the scientific rationale for the proposed study is supported by the preliminary data, critical review and analysis of the literature, and/or laboratory and/or preclinical evidence.
- To what extent the study aims, hypotheses or objectives, experimental design, methods, and analyses are designed to clearly answer the clinical objective.
- To what degree the statistical plan, including sample size projections and power analysis, as applicable, is appropriate and adequate for the study.
- How well the inclusion, exclusion, and randomization criteria meet the needs of the proposed clinical trial, and how well the level of risk to the human subjects is minimized.
- To what degree the intervention addresses the clinical need(s) described.
- Whether there is sufficient evidence of an existing IND/IDE (if applicable).
- Whether there is sufficient evidence of availability and accessibility of the drug/compound, device, and/or materials needed.
- If applicable, whether data will be appropriately reported and documented to support a regulatory filing with the FDA.

• Recruitment, Accrual, and Feasibility

- How well the PI addresses the availability, accessibility, and interest of human subjects for the clinical trial.
- Whether there is evidence that a plan to address potential ethical issues raised by the proposed study has been appropriately considered and developed (if applicable).

- How well the recruitment processes for human subjects are designed to meet the needs of the proposed study.
- Whether there is evidence of an adequate contingency plan to resolve potential delays (e.g., slow accrual, attrition).

• Impact

- To what degree the proposed study could, whether in the short- or long-term, make a significant impact on lung cancer research and/or patient care, including its potential to accelerate progress toward eradicating deaths from lung cancer.
- How well the proposed research addresses at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of applications without a clinical trial:

• Environment

- To what degree the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research.
- How well the research requirements are supported by the availability of and access to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements).
- To what degree the quality and extent of institutional support are appropriate for the proposed research.
- If multi-institutional, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.
- Budget
 - Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and within the limitations of this Program Announcement.

• Application Presentation

• To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

II.E.1.b. Programmatic Review

To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

- Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers
- Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY17 LCRP, as evidenced by the following:
 - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
 - Program portfolio composition
 - Relative impact and military relevance

II.E.2. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumers in a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. Each application is evaluated for its own merit, independent of other applications. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the Commanding General, USAMRMC, on behalf of the DHA and the OASD(HA), based on technical merit, the relevance to the mission of the DHP and LCRP, the specific intent of the award mechanism, and to other specified evaluation criteria in the Program Announcement. Programmatic review is a comparison-based process in which applications with scientific and technical merit compete in a common pool. *The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Funding recommendations depend on various factors as described in Section II.E.1.b, Programmatic Review.* Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the meritbased selection process. Panel members sign a statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization's application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from Federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with 18 USC 1905.

II.E.3. Integrity and Performance Information

Prior to making an assistance agreement award where the Federal share is expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (currently \$150,000) over the period of performance, the Federal awarding agency is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is available in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS).

An applicant, at its option, may review FAPIIS, accessible through SAM, and submit comments to FAPIIS on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently available in FAPIIS.

The Federal awarding agency will consider any comments by the applicant, in addition to other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics and record of performance under Federal awards when determining a recipient's qualification prior to award, according to the qualification standards of the Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations (DoDGAR), Section 22.415.

II.E.4. Anticipated Announcement and Federal Award Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated in <u>Section I, Overview of the Funding</u> <u>Opportunity</u>.

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

II.F. Federal Award Administration Information

II.F.1. Federal Award Notices

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2018. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for additional award administration information.

Awards are made to organizations, not to individual PIs. The types of awards made under the Program Announcement will be assistance agreements (grants or cooperative agreements). The level of involvement on the part of DoD during project performance is the key factor in determining whether to award a grant or cooperative agreement.

Extramural Organizations: An assistance agreement (grant or cooperative agreement) is appropriate when the Federal Government transfers a "thing of value," to a "state, local government," or "other recipient," to carry out a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by a law of the United States, instead of acquiring property or service for the direct benefit and use of the U.S. Government. An assistance agreement can take the form of a grant or cooperative agreement. If "no substantial involvement" on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a grant award will be made (31 USC 6304). Conversely, if substantial involvement on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a cooperative agreement will be made (31 USC 6305). Substantial involvement may include collaboration, participation, or intervention in the research to be performed under the award. The award type, along with the start date, will be determined during the negotiation process.

After email notification of application review results through the eBRAP, and if selected for funding, a representative from the USAMRAA will contact the business official authorized to negotiate on behalf of the PI's organization.

Only an appointed USAMRAA Grants Officer may obligate the Government to the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of the Government should be inferred from discussions with any other individual. The award document signed by the Grants Officer is the official authorizing documents.

Intramural Organizations: Awards to Federal Government organizations (to include intramural DoD organizations) will be executed through the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR) or Funding Authorization Document (FAD) process. Transfer of funds is contingent upon appropriate safety and administrative approvals. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource managers (RM).

After email notification of application review results through the eBRAP, and if selected for funding, a representative from the CDMRP will contact the business official authorized to negotiate on behalf of the PI's organization.

II.F.1.a. Award Transfers

Unless otherwise restricted, changes in the Initiating or Partnering PI are discouraged and will be allowed at the discretion of the USAMRAA Grants Officer, provided that the intent of the award mechanism is met. An organizational transfer of an award will not be allowed in the last year of the (original) period of performance or any extension thereof.

The organization transfer of an award supporting a clinical trial is strongly discouraged and in most cases will not be allowed. Approval of a transfer request will be on a case-by-case basis at the discretion of the USAMRAA Grants Officer.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section B, for general information on organization or PI changes.

II.F.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Applicable requirements in the DoDGAR found in 32 CFR, Chapter 1, Subchapter C, and 2 CFR, Chapter XI, apply to grants and cooperative agreements resulting from this Program Announcement.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for general information regarding administrative requirements.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for general information regarding national policy requirements.

Refer to full text of the <u>USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions for Institutions of</u> <u>Higher Education, Hospitals, and Non-Profit Organizations</u> and the <u>USAMRAA General</u> <u>Research Terms and Conditions with For-Profit Organizations</u> for further information.

II.F.3. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section A, for general information on reporting requirements.

For all awards including prospective accrual of human subjects, quarterly technical progress reports will be required.

In addition to written progress reports, Annual Award Charts will be required. For the LCRP Translational Partnership Research Award mechanism, use the format example titled, "Generic Award Charts," available on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm).

Awards resulting from this Program Announcement will incorporate additional reporting requirements related to recipient integrity and performance matters. Recipient organizations that have Federal contract, grant, and cooperative agreement awards with a cumulative total value greater than \$10,000,000 are required to provide information to FAPIIS about certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings that reached final disposition within the most recent 5-year period and that were connected with performance of a Federal award. Recipients are required to disclose semiannually information about criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings as specified in the applicable Terms and Conditions. The applicable Terms and Conditions for institutions of higher education, hospitals, and nonprofit organizations is available in OAR Article I, Section B, in the July 2016 R&D General Terms and Conditions. The applicable Terms and Conditions for for-profit organizations is available in Section 34 of the February 2017 USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions with For-Profit Organizations.

II.G. Federal Awarding Agency Contacts

II.G.1. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the pre-application or intramural application submission through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507

Email: <u>help@eBRAP.org</u>

II.G.2. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to extramural application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. Federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726; International 1-606-545-5035

Email: <u>support@grants.gov</u>

Sign up on Grants.gov for "send me change notification emails" by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

II.H. Other Information

II.H.1. Program Announcement and General Application Instructions Versions

Questions related to this Program Announcement should refer to the Program name, the Program Announcement name, and the Program Announcement version code 20170418d. The Program Announcement numeric version code will match the General Applications Instructions version code 20170418.

II.H.2. Administrative Actions

After receipt of pre-applications or applications, the following administrative actions may occur:

II.H.2.a. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Preproposal Narrative is missing.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not received.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.

II.H.2.b. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Preproposal Narrative and Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

II.H.2.c. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application or application:

- An FY17 LCRP Programmatic Panel member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. *A list of the FY17 LCRP Programmatic Panel members can be found at <u>http://cdmrp.army.mil/lcrp/panels/panels17</u>.*
- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in the preparation, research or other duties for submitted applications. For FY17, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (<u>http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess</u>). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage COIs are provided and deemed appropriate by the Grants Officer. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for detailed information.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- Applications from extramural organizations, including non-DoD Federal agencies, received through eBRAP may be withdrawn.
- Applications submitted by an intramural DoD organization may be withdrawn if the intramural organization cannot coordinate the use of contractual, assistance, or other appropriate agreements to provide funds to extramural collaborators.
- Submission of the same research project to different Funding Opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.
- The invited application does not propose the same research project described in the preapplication.
- An application for which the PI does not meet the eligibility criteria will be withdrawn.
- All associated (Initiating and Partnering PI) applications are not submitted by the deadline.
- An application that does not address at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis will be withdrawn.
- A pre-application or application that proposes only mesothelioma research will be withdrawn.

• Applications may be administratively withdrawn from further consideration if the applicant cannot demonstrate access to the relevant study population or resources.

II.H.2.d. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

II.H.3. Application Submission Checklist

Application Components	Action	Initiating PI Completed	Partnering PI Completed
SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only)	Complete form as instructed.		
Summary (Tab 1) and Application Contacts (Tab 2) (Intramural submissions only)	Complete these tabs as instructed.		
	Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1		
	with file name "ProjectNarrative.pdf."		
	Supporting Documentation: Upload as		
	Attachment 2 with file name "Support.pdf."		
	Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment		
	3 with file name "TechAbs.pdf."		
	Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name "LayAbs.pdf."		
	Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment		
	5 with file name "SOW.pdf."		
	Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 6		
	with file name "Impact.pdf."		
	Partnership Statement: Upload as		
	Attachment 7 with the file name		
	"Partnership.pdf."		
Attachments	Human Subject Recruitment and Safety		
7 Huemients	Procedures: Upload as Attachment 8 with		
	file name "HumSubProc.pdf," if applicable.		
	IND/IDE Documentation Form: Upload as		
	Attachment 9 with file name		
	"IND.IDE.pdf," if proposing a clinical trial.		
	Military Relevance Statement: Upload as Attachment 10 with file name		
	"MilRelevance.pdf."		
	Letters Confirming Access to Military or		
	VA Patient Populations or Human/Animal		
	Anatomical Substances, Databases: Upload		
	as Attachment 11 with file name		
	"Access.pdf," if applicable.		
	DoD Military Budget Form(s): Upload as		
	Attachment 12 with file name		
	"MFBudget.pdf," if applicable.		

Application Components	Action	Initiating PI Completed	Partnering PI Completed
Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)	Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field. Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field. Attach Biographical Sketch		
	(Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field. Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.		
Research & Related Budget (Extramural submissions only)	Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.		
Budget (Intramural submissions only)	Complete the DoD Military Budget Form and Justification.		
Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form	Complete form as instructed.		
R&R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form	Complete form as instructed.		

APPENDIX 1: ACRONYM LIST

ACURO	Animal Care and Use Review Office
ARRIVE	Animal Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments
CDMRP	Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DHA	Defense Health Agency
DHP	Defense Health Program
DoD	Department of Defense
DoDGAR	Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations
DUNS	Data Universal Numbering System
eBRAP	Electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal
EC	Ethics Committee
ET	Eastern Time
FAPIIS	Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration
FY	Fiscal Year
HRPO	Human Research Protection Office
IACUC	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee
IDE	Investigational Device Exemption
IND	Investigational New Drug
IRB	Institutional Review Board
LCRP	Lung Cancer Research Program
М	Million
OASD(HA)	Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
ORP	Office of Research Protections
PI	Principal Investigator
RDT&E	Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation
RM	Resource Manager
SAM	System for Award Management
SOW	Statement of Work
TRPA	Translational Research Partnership Award
USAMRAA	U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity
USAMRMC	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
USC	United States Code
VA	Department of Veterans Affairs